Radical Decarboxylative Alkylation of Tartaric Acid

Derek H. R. Barton^{a*}, Alice Gateau-Olesker^b, Stephan D. Géro^b, Brigitte Lacher^b, Catherine Tachdjian^a and Samir Z. Zard^c.

a) Department of Chemistry, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, U.S.A. b) Institut de Chimie des Substances Naturelles, C.N.R.S., 91198 Gif-sur-Yvette, France. c) Laboratoire de Synthèse Organique, Ecole Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau, France.

(Received in Belgium 28 January 1993)

Key Words: Tartaric acid, N-Hydroxy-2-thiopyridone, radical decarboxylation, visible light photolysis, C-C bond formation, stereospecific alkylation.

Ahb~\$: New derivati~s of L-(+)-tariaric acid have been synthesized from the monomethyl-2,3-0-isopropylidene (R, R) -(+)-tartrate by visible light photolysis of its N-hydroxy-2-thiopyridone ester derivative in presence of activated aikenes. The carbon radical generated at the dioxolane ring adds stereoselectively to olefins to give the addition products with retention of $configuration.$

Tartaric acid, one of the cheapest enantiomerically pure compounds, has been extensively used as a chiral building block for natural products syntheses¹ as well as a ligand for asymetric induction². Both enantiomeric forms $\{(R,R)$ and $(S,S)\}$ are naturally abundant and the presence of a C-2 axis makes it a valuable starting material. Most transformations have relied heavily on ionic reactions. We have reported some time ago our preliminary results concerning the radical decarboxylative alkylation of tartaric acid3. We wish to report here in full the results of our study dealing with the stereoselectivity of the process as well as the facile preparation of highly functionalized derivatives of tartaric acid.

The acyl derivatives of N-hydroxy-2-thiopyridone 1 are an excellent source of carbon radicals when photolyzed by visible light⁴. Since its invention this process has also been developed and applied to the generation of nitrogen⁵ and oxygen-centered radicals⁶. We have also recently demonstrated that acyl derivatives of type 1 are a substitute for tin hydride' and can also be used for the generation of carbon radicals from organo-telluride derivatives⁸. Also other types of thiohydroxamic acids have been synthesized which also undergo mdical decarboxylation **upon thermolysis and/or** UV or visible light photolysis8.

Among the different ways¹⁰ of trapping carbon radicals, the most useful application concerns C-C bond formation (Scheme 1). In this sequence the carbon radical 2, generated by visible light photolysis of 1, is trapped by an electton deficient olefin 3 to give a new radical intermediate 4. This mdicai then carries the chain by further reacting with the thiocarbonyl group of 1 to produce the addition product 5 and thus generate a new carbon radical 2. If the olefm is not radicophilic enough. the intermediate radical 2 can add directly to the thiocarbonyl of **1 to** form the sulfide 6 the so *called "the* rearrangement product".

Our work on tartaric acid was based on earlier results obtained for the radical decarboxylative alkylation of the Oacetyl derivatives of L-(+)-lactic 7a and mandelic **7b** acids (Scheme 2). Our interest was to study the reactivity of a-alkoxy radicals. We found that on refluxing in toiuene the 2,3-dihydrothiaxole-2-thione ester derivative 9a leads to the formation of the rearranged product 10 with complete racemisation. This result was not surprising due to the planar geometry of the carbon radical intermediate. When the reaction is carried out in presence of IV-methylmaleimide the addition products **lla-b** were obtained in modest to good yields. The elimination of the thiazole group by oxidation of the **sulfide 11** and thermal elimination of the sulfoxide 12 gave a single product 13.

Scheme 2

These results proved that we were able to generate α -alkoxy radicals using the thiohydroxamic acid method. However tartaric acid is a more complicated system that contains two β -hydroxyl moieties known to easily undergo β -elimination to give α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds. Indeed our first experiment on an open chain derivative of tartaric acid was unsuccessful. The esterification of the diacetyl monomethylester derivative through the acyl chloride gave a complex mixture of @elimination products.

To overcome this problem we decided to use a cyclic derivative such as the known isopropylidene monomethyl ester 14 (scheme 3). Also in this case our goal was to be able to keep the stereochemical imprint of the molecule during the process. It was earlier demonstrated that β -substituted cyclopentyl radicals add stereoselectively to alkenes to give predominantly anti addition 11 . We thought the presence of the methyl ester group in our case would ensure an identical stereoselective addition.

The preparation of the thiohydroxamic ester **15 was** finally achieved through the mixed anhydride method12 at low temperature in THF (Scheme 3). It was not possible to isolate this compound although its formation was confrmed by the characteristic yellow color of the solution.

i: isobutyl &lomfoimate, N-methylmorpholine. THF, - 20°C. **15 min; ii:** N-hydroxy-2-thiopyridonc sodium salt, THF, -20°C. 90 min.; iii: 16, hv, -20°C. 30 min.

Scheme 3

The *in situ* irradiation of 15 was first carried out in absence of olefin and gave the rearranged product **18** as the only isomer detectable by n.m.r. spectroscopy. The coupling constant of the ring hydrogen $(J = 5$ Hz) did not allow us to determine the cis or tmns relationship though steric considerations were in favor of the trans isomer. When the photolysis was effected in presence of methyl acrylate 16a (5 eq) we observed the formation of the addition product 17a in 70% yield as a mixture of isomers with respect to the newly created terminal asymmetric center. From the reaction mixture we also isolated a small amount of the rearranged product 18 (2%) and the double addition product 19 (7%). Again the addition of the carbon radical generated during the photolysis of 15 on the methyl acrylate was shown to be stereoselective. In order to determine without doubt the strereochemical assignment of 17a we decided to convert this compound back into the (R,R) dimethyl isopropylidene tartrate 21 (Scheme 4).

a: i) mCPBA, CH₂Cl₂, 0°C; ii) toluene, reflux, 30 min.; b: i) RuO₂ (cat), NaIO₄, (CH₃)₂CO, H₂O, r.t., 30 min; ii) CH₂N₂, CH₃CN, r.t., 30 min; c) Ni Raney, EtOH, reflux, 1 hr.

Scheme 4

Peracetic oxydation of the sulfide 17a (Y=H, W= CO₂Me) to the sulfoxide followed by thermolysis in boiling toluene cleanly afforded the trans olefin 20a (Y=H, W= CO₂Me) in 75% yield. Cleavage of the double bond with ruthenium dioxide-sodium periodate in acetone-water and methylation of the carboxylic acid intermediate with diazomethane yielded the dimethyl tartrate derivative 21 identical to an authentic sample. The retention of configuration in this radical reaction was thus confumed. Also HPLC analyses were conducted to further elucidate the stereochemistry of the radical decarboxylative alkylation of 14 in presence of methyl acrylate and to measure the purity of the product formed. The details of this HPLC work has been fully published elsewhere¹³. The results obtained after sequential conversion of 17a to 21 show the presence of approximately 4% of (R,S)-dimethylisopropylidene tartrate 22 in the crude degradation product.

An identical study was effected on the racemic (R.S)-monomethyl isopropylidene tartrate 23 derived from the meso tartaric acid. In this case radical decarboxylative aikylation of 23 followed by degradation of the addition product shows the presence of 96% of the racemic mixture (R, R) -(+) and (S, S) -(-)

dimethylisopropylidene tartrate and 4 % of the (R,S) derivative. In this case the radical decarboxylative **alkylation gave essentially the addition product with inversion of configuration.** These results demonstrate clearly that the process is highly stereoselective (ca. 25: 1). It could certainly be improved by replacing the methylester by a bulkier one, by making a more bulky ketal or by lowering the tempemture of the reaction.

We have investigated other alkenes **16b-f** in this system which gave adducts **17b-f** (Scheme 3). The results are summarized in Table 1.

Entry	olefin 16	equivalents	17 $(yield \%)$	18 yield $(\%)$
1	16a Y= H, $W = CO_2CH_3$	5	17a(70)	2
\mathbf{z}	16b Y, $W = CON(CH3)CO$	1.1	17b (93)	
3	16c $Y = H$, $W = SO2Ph$	1.5	17c (70)	
4	16d Y= CH ₃ , W = $CO2C2H5$	40	17d (55)	6
5	16e $Y = CH_3$, $W = CN$	40	17e (25)	8
6	16f $Y = CH_3$, $W = SO_2Ph$	10	17f(13)	48

Table 1: Radical Decarboxvlative Addition of 14 to Olefins 16 a-f.

Good yields were obtained when the Barton ester 15 was photolysed in presence of Nmethylmaleimide 16b or phenyl vinyl sulfone 16c. The reaction required fewer equivalents of olefin than in the case of methyl acrylate. The additions to 16d and 16e gave better yields than we had expected¹⁴. Both olefins are not easily polymerisable and thus can be used in large excess. This is not the case with methyl acrylate that always gives a small percentage of the two-fold adduct 19 (scheme 3). The methyl phenyl vinyl sulfone **161 was** found to be the least reactive (Table 1, entry 6). During preliminary studies on a model compound 244 we have found that the addition of the adamantyl radical to 16e and **16g** gave comparable vields of the adducts $25a$ and $25c$ (Table 2).

Table 2: Radical Decarboxylative Addition of 24 to Olefins 16 e-g.

Extensive work has been done in our laboratory on further functionalization of the terminal carbon atom of the newly introduced side chain¹⁵. A number of useful transformations has been accomplished leading to the formation for example of terminal carboxylic acid, aldehyde, ketone or ketoacid. Also the simple oxidation to sulfoxide and thermal elimination affords olefins. Application of this reaction to the adduct 17 permitted us to obtain the olefins 20a-e. Similary reduction of 17 with Raney Nickel gave compounds of type 26 (Scheme 4). The results are shown in Table 3.

Entry	olefin 17	20 $(yidd \%)$	26 $(yidd \%)$
1	17a $Y = H$, $W = CO_2CH_3$	20a(75)	26a(84)
$\overline{\mathbf{2}}$	17b Y, $W = CON(CH3)CO$	20b(52)	
3	17c $Y = H$, $W = SO2Ph$	20c(64)	
4	17d $Y = CH_3$, $W = CO_2C_2H_5$	20d (70)	26d (80)
5	17e $Y = CH_3$, $W = CN$	20e (50)	

Table 3: Oxidation-Elimination and Reductive Elimination of the Thiopyridyl Group

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that the application of the radical decarboxylative alkylation to the tartrate acetai 14 permitted a simple preparation of some tartaric acid derivatives of synthetic potential. We had planned our work with the tartaric acid derivative in 19S5 with the assumption that the radical therefrom would give, on the basis of relative steric hindrance, preferentially the $\frac{trans}{trans}$ compound. We were surprised at the $25:1$ selectivity we observed. Later the dimethyl ketal group was used again in nucleoside chemistry with equally satisfactory results¹⁶. There has been remarkable progress recently in acyclic stereochemical control in free radical reactions using chiral auxiliaries¹⁷. In fact the problem of stereoselectivity in all kinds of radical chemistry seems to be largely resolved.

Experimental

Melting points were taken on a Reicher apparatus and are not corrected. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer 297 spectrophotometer. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on Brüker WP200 SY (200) MHz) or on a HS80 (80 MHz) in CDCl₃. Chemical Shifts are in p.p.m. downfield from tetramethylsilane used as an internal standard (6 values). Mass spectra were run on AEI MS-9 and AEI MS-50 spectrometers. Microanalyses were performed at the Service for Microanalysis of the CNRS at Gif-sur-Yvette. Solvents were dried and purified by standard methods. All the reactions were effected under an inert atmosphere of argon. Column chromatography was carried out on silica gel 60 (0.040-0.060 mm). Preparative thin-layer chromatographic plates were laboratory-made using silica gel 60 PF₂₅₄ (Merck).

General Procedure for the Preparation of 2-Thiothiazoline Derivatives 9a-b:

To a solution of the acid **7 (5** mmol) and oxalyl chloride (7.5 mmd) in anhydrous dichlotomethane (5 ml) is added a drop of DMF. The mixture is stirred for 2 hours under argon. The solvent is then removed under reduced pressure. The crude acid chloride is added, at 0°C and in the dark, to a solution of the thiohydroxamic acid (5 mmol) and pyridine (5 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 ml). The reaction mixture is stirred for 30 minutes and filtered rapidly through silica gel (eluent dichloromethane) in the **dark.** The solvent is removed under reduced pressure and the product 9 is obtained pure by recrystallization.

Ester 9a: This compound formed colorless crystals (60%), m.p. 66-68 °C (from ether-pentane); $\left[\alpha\right]_{D}^{20}$ -260.7° (c 1.00, CHCl₃); IR (nujol) 1810, 1740 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (60 MHz) 1.80 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz), 2.15 $(3H,s)$, 2.20 $(3H,s)$, 5.15 $(1H, q, J = 7 Hz)$, 6.15 $(1H, s)$; MS $(EI, m/z)$: 261 $(M)^+$, 260 $(M-1)^+$, 146 $(C_4H_4NOS_2)^+$; Anal. Calcd for C₉H₁₁NO₄S₂: C, 41.37; H, 4.24; N, 5.36; S, 24.54 Found: C, 41.35; H, 4.29; N. 5.54; S, 24.51.

Ester 9b: This compound formed colorless crystals (85%), m.p. 80-82 °C (from ether-pentane); $\alpha|_{\mathcal{D}}^{20}$ + 23.6° (c 1.1, CHCl3); IR (nujol) 1820, 1740 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (60 MHz) 2.14 (6H,s), 5.96 (2H, m), 7.48-7.06 (1H, m); MS (EI, m/z):323 (M)+, 279 (M-CO₂)+, 149 (C₉H₉O)+; Anal. Calcd for C₁₄H₁₃NO₄S₂: C, 52.00; H, 4.05; N, 4.33; S, 19.83 Found: C, 51.86; H, 3.80; N, 4.47; S, 19.78.

Thermolvsis of 9a:

Sulfide 10a: Compound 9a (200 mg) was dissolved in anhydrous toluene (5 ml) and the solution refluxed for 10 min. under argon. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue chromatographed on silica gel to give the sulfide **10a** (163 mg) as a yellow oil. $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ O° (c 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (film) 1760, 1525, 1445, 1370 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (60 MHz) 1.63 (3H, d, J = 6Hz), 2.05 (3H, s), 2.42 (3H, m), 6.33 (1H, q, J = 6Hz); MS (EI, m/z): 218 (M)+, 158 (M-C₂H₃O₂)+; Anal. Calcd for C₈H₁₁NO₂S₂: C, 42.22; H, 5.10; N, 6.45; S, 29.51 Found: C, 44.42; H. 5.12; N, 6.45; S. 29.26.

General Procedure for the Radical Decarboxylative Addition of 9 to N-methylmaleimide:

A solution of 9 (1 mmol) and methylmaleimide (1.5 mmol) in anhydrous toiuene was refluxed under argon for 10 minutes. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue chromatographed on silica gel $($ eluent ether-pentane 4:6).

Sulfide *lla*: The product was obtained as a mixture of two diastereoisomers (89%) . $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ O° (c 1.0, CHCl₃); IR (film) 1740, 1700 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz) 1.50 (3H, d, J = 7Hz), 2.08 2.14 (3H, 2s), 2.39 $(3H, s)$, 3.20 $(3H, s)$, 3.43-3.58 $(1H, m)$, 4.38 4.17 $(1H, 2d, J = 6Hz)$, 5.80-5.54 $(1H, m)$, 7.08 $(1H, s)$; MS (EI, m/z): 328 (M)+, 241 (M-C4H7O2)+; Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₁₆N₂O₄S₂: C, 47.54; H, 4.91; N, 8.53; S, 19.53 Found: C, 47.58; H, 4.91; N, 8.60; S, 19.51.

Sulfide 11b: The product was obtained as a mixture of two diastereoisomers (65%). IR (film) 1750, 1700 cm⁻ **1; rH NMR (200 MHz) 2.20 2.25 (3H, 2s). 2.39 2.38 (3H, 2s). 3.11 3.05 (3H. s), 3.78 4.03 (1H. m). 4.16 4.33 (1H. 2xd. J = 5Hz), 6.66 6.76 (lH, 2xd. J=6Hz and J= 3Hx), 7.02 7.08 (lH, 2s). 7567.73 (5H, m);** MS (EI, m/z): 390 (M)⁺, 347 (M-C₂H₃O)⁺, 241 (M-C₂H₉O₂)⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₁₈N₂O₄S₂: C, 55.37; **H, 4.65; N, 7.17; S, 16.42 Found: C, 55.20; H, 4.69; N, 7.25; S, 16.40.**

Radical Decarboxylation of Tartaric Acid Derivative 14 in Absence of Trap:

Sulfide 18: To a degassed solution of the 2,3-O-isopropylidene monomethyl tartrate 14 (500 mg, 2.45 mmol) in anhydrous THF (25 ml) was added, at -20°C and under argon, N-methyl morpholine (0.27 ml, 2.45 mmol) **and isobutyl chloroformate (0.32 ml, 1 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 15 minutes and the sodium salt of IV-hydroxy-2-thiopyridone (400 mg, 2.7 mmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at - 2O'C. in the dark, for 90 minutes, then the yellow solution irradiated with a tungsten** lamp of 250 W for 30 minutes at - 20°C until the solution became colorless. The mixture was extracted with ether and washed successively with NaHCO₃ (0.1N), H₂O and brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO₄, filtered and evaporated to dryness **under reduced pressure, Flash chromatography of the residue on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane 2:8) gave the** the rearrangement product 18 (455 mg, 69%). It formed colorless crystals, m.p. 73 °C (from hexane); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ -214" (c O.%, CHCl3); **tH NMR (200 MHz) 1.5 (3H,s), 1.6 (3H,s), 3.8 (3H. s), 4.8 (1H. dd, J = 5 Hz), 6.6 (1H.** dd. J= 5 Hz), 7.1 (1H. t, J = 6 Hz), 7.33 (lH, d. J = 8 Hz), 7.61 (lH, m), 8.56 (lH, d, J = 6 Hz); 13C NMR26.6 (CH3). 27 (CH3). 52.7 (CH3), 79.9 (CH), 83 (CH), 114.2 (Cq). 120.5 (CH), 123 (CH), 136.6 (CH), 149.7 (CH), 156.9 (Cq), 169.8 (C=0); MS (EI, m/z): 269 (M)⁺, 254 (M-CH₃)⁺, 159 (M-SPy)⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₅NO₄S: C, 53.51; H, 5.61; N, 5.20; S, 11.88 Found: C, 53.50; H, 5.66; N, 5.14; S, 11.92.

General Procedure for the Decarboxylative Alkylation of Tartaric acid:

To a degassed solution of the 2.3-Oisopropylidene monomethyl tartrate 14 (lmmd) in anhydrous THF (6 ml) was added, at -20°C and under argon, N-methyl morpholine (1 mmol) and isobutyl chloroformate (1 ml). The mixture was stirred for 15 minutes and the sodium salt of N-hydroxy-2-thiopyridone (1. lmmol) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at -20° C, in the dark, for 90 minutes. Then the olefin was added and the yellow solution was irradiated with a tungsten lamp of 250 W for 30 minutes at - 20°C. The mixture was extracted with ether and washed successively with NaHCO₃ (0.1N), H₂O and brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO4, filtered and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure.

Decarboxylative Alkylation in Presence of Methyl Acrylate (5 mmol):

Flash chromatography of the residue on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane 8:2) gave the addition product **17a** *(70%). the* rearranged product 18 *(2%)* and the double addition product 19 (7%).

Addition *product* **Z7a :** This was obtained as an oil (70%). IR (film) 1720, 1580. 1560, 1450. 1440, 1420 cm-l; IH NMR (200 MHz) 1.3 1.4 1.6 1.7 (6H. 4s). 3.83 (3H. 8). 3.9 (3H. 1s). 4.38 (1H. m), 4.50 (lH, m), 4.95 (1H, m), 7.27 (1H, m), 7.50 (1H, m), 7.80 (1H, m), 8.75 (1H, m); ¹³C NMR 25.6 (CH₃), 35.8 36 $(CH₂), 42.5 43.5 (CH), 52 52.2 (CH₃), 76.5 76.6 (CH), 78.4 78.7 (CH), 111.1 111.2 (Ca), 120 (CH),$ 122.3 (CH), 136.1 (CH). 149.3 (CH), 156 (Cq), 170 (C=O), 172 172.2 (C=O); MS (EI, m/z): 355 (M)+, 340 $(M-CH_3)^+$, 296 $(M-CO_2CH_3)^+$; Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₁NO₆S: C, 54.06; H, 5.95; N, 3.94; S, 9.02 Found: C, 54.06; H. 5.77; N, 3.76; S. 8.91.

Double addition product 19: This was obtained as an oil (6%); ¹H NMR (200 MHz) 1.3 to 1.6 (6H, CH₃, 6s), 2.1 to 2.3 (4H, CH₂, m), 2.9 (1H, m); 3.67 to 3.82 (9H, CO₂CH₃ m), 3.89 (1H, m), 4.26 (1H, m), 4.6 to 4.9 (1H. m). 7.12 (1H. m). 7.3 (lH, m), 7.6 (lH, m), 8.48 (lH, m); MS (EI, m/z): 441 (M)+, 426 (M- CH_3 ⁺, 382 (M-CO₂CH₃)⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₂₇NO₈S: C, 54.40; H, 6.16; N, 3.17; S, 7.26 Found: C, 53.96; H, 5.87; N, 3.03; S. 6.94.

Decarboxylative Alkylation in Presence of N-methylmaleimide (1.1mmol):

Flash chromatography of the residue on silica gel (dichloromethane) gave the addition product 17b in 93% yield as a mixture of isomers.¹H NMR (200 MHz) 1.42 1.47 1.54 (6H, 3s), 3.12 3.14 (3H, 2s), 3.48-3.64 (1H, m), 4.34 and 4.46 (1H, 2d, J= 5Hz), 4.66 and 4.95 (1H, 2dd, J₁= 8Hz, J₂= 2Hz), 4.80 and 5.40 (1H, 2d. J= 8Hz), 7.13-7.23 (1H. m), 7.37 (1H. d, J= 8Hz), 7.60-7.63 (lH, m), 8.38 (IH. d, J= 5 Hz); IR (film) 1765, 1575, 1443 cm⁻¹; MS (EI, m/z): 380 (M)⁺, 365 (M-CH₃)⁺, 221 (M-C₇H₁₁O₄)⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₁₇H₂₀N₂O₆S₂: C, 53.67; H, 5.30; N, 7.36; S, 8.43 Found: C, 53.38. H, 5.25; N, 7.42; S, 8.48.

Decarboxylative Alkylation in Presence of Vinylsulfone (1.5 mmol):

Flash chromatography of the residue on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane 6:4) gave the addition product **17e as** a mixture of isomers. This was obtained as an oil; IR (film) 1750-1580-1560-1440-1405 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200) MHz) 1.36 (6H, CH₃, m), 2.32 to 2.93 (2H, CH₂, m), 3.7 (3H, CH₃, s), 3.83 (3H, CH₃, s), 4.36 (2H, m), 5.96 (1H, m), 7 (2H, m), 7.43 (4H, m), 7.96 (2H, m), 8.26 (1H, m); ¹³C NMR 26 (CH₃), 27.2 (CH₃), 32.5 (CH2). 52.1 (CH3), 62.4 63.5 (CH), 75.9 76.5 (CH), 77.9 79 (CH). 111.5 111.6 (Cq). 120.6 (CH), 122.4 (CH). 128.4 (CH), 129.9 (CH), 133.5 (CH), 136.3 (CH), 137.6 (CH). 149.1 (CH). 154.6 (Cq), 170.4 (C=0); MS (EI, m/z): 437 (M)+, 422 (M-CH₃)+; Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₂₃NO₆S₂: C, 54.89; H, 5.29; N, 3.20; S, 14.65 Found: C, 54.64, H. 5.14; N, 3.12; S. 14.72.

Decarboxylative Alkylution in Presence of Ethyl Crotonate (40 mmol):

Flash chromatography of the residue on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane 1:9) gave the addition product **17d as** a mixture of four isomers. This was obtained as an oil (55%). ¹³C NMR 11.3 12 12.7 13.2 14.1 (CH₃), 27.2 (CH₃), 25.6 25.9 (CH₃), 37.8 39.6 (CH), 48.7 49.6 50 50.1 (CH), 52 52.3 (CH₃), 61.3 (CH₂), 76.9 77.3 78 (CH), 79.4 80 81.1 82 (CH), 111.1 111.4 (Cq), 119.8 120 (CH), 122.4 (CH), 136 136.1 (CH), 143.3 (CH), 157.2 (Cq), 171.2 171.3 171.4 171.8 (C=0), MS (EI, m/z): 384 (MH)⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₁₈H₂₅NO₆S: C, 56.37; H, 6.57; N, 3.65; S, 8.36 Found: C, 56.58; H, 6.49; N, 3.69; S, 8.35.

Decarboxylative Al&y&ion in Presence of Crotononitrik (40mmol):

Flash chromatogiaphy of the residue on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane *37) gave the* addition product **17e as** a mixture of isomers. This was obtained as an oil (25%). IR (film) 2220, 1750, 1580, 1560, 1450, 1405 cm-1; tH NMR (200 MHz) 1.36 (9H, CH3, m). 2.26 (1H. CH, m), 3.6 (3H, CH3, 2s). 4.36 (2H. CH, s). 5.16 (0.5 d. J= 7Hz). 5.4 (lH, d. J = 5 Hz), 7.06 (lH, m), 7.2 (lH, m), 7.56 (1H. m). 8.46 (lH, m).; MS (EI, m/z): 337 (MH)+, 228 (MH-SPy)+; Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₀N₂O₄S: C, 57.12; H, 5.99; N, 8.32; S, 9.53 Found: C, 56.87; H, 6.20; N, 8.44, S, 9.32.

Dccarboxyktivc Alkylutton in Presence of hlethylvinyrulfone (1Ommol):

Flash chromatography of the residue on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane 3:7) gave the addition product **171 as** a mixture of isomers. This was obtained as an oil (13%). 13C NMR 12.1 12.9 14.3 15.2 (CH3), 25.6 25.8 26 (CH₃), 26.6 26.9 27.3 27.5 (CH₃), 33.7 35.2 36.2 36.9 (CH), 52.2 52.3 (CH₃), 66.2 66.8 67.7 67.9 (CH), 76.1 77.4 78.6 (CH), 79.9 80.8 81 82 (CH), 111.3 111.8 (Cq), 120.4 120.5 (CH), 122.1 122.3 (CH), 127.9 128.1 128.2 (CH). 129.4 129.5 129.7 (CH), 133.5 133.7 (CH), 136 136.2 (CH), 137.4 137.8 (Cq), 148.7 148.8 (CH). 153.9 (Cq), 171.3 (C=O); MS (EI. m/z): 452 (MH)+, 393 (MH-SPy)+, 255 (M393- HS@Ph)+.

General Procedure for the Photolysis of 24 in Presence of Olefins 16e-g:

To a degassed solution of the adamatyl derivative 24 (lmmol) in anhydrous THF (6 ml) was added, at O'C and under argon the olefin and the yellow solution irradiated with a tungsten lamp of 250 W for 1 hour at 0°C. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure

Decarboxylative Alkylation in Presence of Methyl Crotonate (30 mmol):

Flash chromatography of the residue on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane 0.59.5) gave the addition product 25g as a mixture of two diastereoisomers (48%) which partially separate. The *less polar:* This was obtained as an oil .IR (film) 1720, 1580, 1440, 1405 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz) 1.16 (3H, d, J= 7Hz), 1.66 (12H, m); 1.96 $(4H, m)$, 3.73 (3H, s), 5.03 (1H, d, J= 4Hz), 7 (1H, m), 7.2 (1H, d, J= 7Hz), 7.5 (1H, t, J= 7 Hz), 8.46 (1H, d, J = 5 Hz); ¹³C NMR 10.2 (CH₃), 28.8 (CH₂) 36.9 (Cq), 37.1 (CH), 39.7 (CH), 46.7 (CH₃), 47.3 (CH), 51.6 (Cq), 119.6 (CH), 122 (CH), 135.9 (CH), 143.9 (CH), 157.9 (Cq), 172.6 (C=O); MS (Et, m/z): 345 (MH)⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₂₇NO₂S: C, 69.52; H, 7.87; N, 4.05; S, 9.28 Found: C, 69.57; H, 7.80; N, 3.86; S, 8.87. The *more polar:* This was obtained as an oil .IR (film) 1720, 1580, 1440, 1405 cm-l; 1H NMR (200 MHz) 1.06 (3H, d, J= 7Hz), 1.66 (12H. m); 1.96 (4H, m), 3.73 (3H, s), 4.% (lH, d, J= 3Hz), 7 (lH, m), 7.23 (1H. d, J= 7Hz). 7.5 (lH, t, J= 7 Hz), 8.43 (lH, d, J= 5 Hz); 13C NMR 10.7 (CH3). 28.7 $(CH₂)$ 35.7 (Cq), 37 (CH), 39.9 (CH), 44.9 (CH₃), 47.9 (CH), 52.1 (Cq), 119.2 (CH), 122 (CH), 135.6 (CH), 149.1 (CH), 157.9 (Cq), 173.8 (C=O); MS (EI, m/z): 345 (MH)+; Anal. Calcd for C₂₀H₂₇NO₂S: C, 69.52; H, 7.87; N, 4.05; S, 9.28 Found: C, 69.43; H, 7.88; N, 4.20; S, 9.27.

Decarboxylutive Alkyktion in Presence of Crotononitrile (20mmol):

Flash chromatography of the residue on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane 0.59.5) gave the addition product 25e. This was obtained as an oil (51%). IR (film) 2220, 1580 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz) 1.2 (3H, d, J= 7 Hz), 1.6 to 2.1 (16H, m), 5.33 (lH, d, J = 1 Hz), 7.06 (IH, m), 7.2 (IH, d, J = 8 Hz), 7.56 (lH, t, J = 8 Hz), 8.5 $(H, d, J = 5 Hz);$ 13C NMR 11.1 (CH₃), 28.6 (CH₂) 33 (CH), 36.1 (Cq), 37 (CH), 39.7 (CH), 47.4 (CH), 119.4 (00, 120.6 (CH), 122.3 (CH). 136.5 (CH), 149.6 (CH), 155.2 (Cq); MS (EI, m/z): 312 (M)+, 242 (M-SPy)⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₁₉H₂₄N₂S: C, 73.02; H, 7.74; N, 8.96; S, 10.26 Found: C, 73.30; H, 7.65; N, 8.69; S, 10.49.

Decarboxylative Alkylation in Presence of Methylvinyrulfone (lOmmo1):

Flash chromatogmphy of the residue on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane 1:9) gave the addition product **25f as** a white solid (14%). IR (film) 1560, 1450, 1400, 1360, 1140 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (200 MHz) 1.2 (3H, d, J= 7 Hz), 1.6 (llH, m), 1.9 (4H, s), 2.4 (lH, q, J = 7 Hz), 6.26 (lH, s). 6.9 (2H, m), 7.3 (4H, m), 7.9 (2H, d, J = 8 Hz), 8.2 (1H, d, J = 8 Hz); MS (EI, m/z): 428 (MH)⁺, 319 (MH-HSPy)⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₂₉N₂O₂S₂: C. 67.40; H, 6.83; N, 3.27; S, 14.99 Found: C, 67.24; H, 6.99; N, 3.43; S, 14.80.

General Procedure for the Oxvdation-Elimination of the Thiothiazolvl and Thiopyridyl Groups:

To a solution of the addition product (1 mmol) in CHCl₃ (5 ml) was added metachloroperbenzoic acid (1.2 mmol) at 0°C. The reaction mixture was stirred one hour at 0°C. The solution was then diluted with dichloromethane and washed successively with NaHCO₃ (0.1N), H₂O and brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO4 and concentrated. The crude sulfoxide was taken up in anhydrous toluene (5 ml) and the solution was refluxed for 30 minutes. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the residue flash chromatographed on silica gel.

N-methylmaleimide Derivative 13: This was obtained as an oil (76%). IR (film) 1700, 1440, 1240 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (60 MHz) 1.54 (3H, d, J = 7Hz), 2.15 (3H, m), 3.02 (3H, s), 5.90-5.50 (1H, m), 6.40 (1H, d, J=2Hz); MS (EI, m/z): 197 (M)+, 155 (M-C₂H₂O)+; Anal. Calcd for C₂H₁NO₃: C, 54.82; H, 5.62; N, 7.10 Found: C, 54.83; H, 5.45; N, 7.10.

Methylacrylate Derivative 20a: Flash chromatography of the residue on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane 2:8) gave the olefin (75%).This was obtained as an oil. $\left[\alpha\right]_{0}^{20}$ -35° (c 2.21, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (200 MHz) 1.5 (6H, s), 3.8 (3H, s), 3.9 (3H, s), 4.35 (1H, d, J = 8 Hz), 4.8 (1H, m, J₁ = 8 Hz, J₂ = 5 Hz), 6.28 (1H, dd, $J_1 = 16$ Hz, $J_2 = 2$ Hz), 7.1 (1H, dd, $J_1 = 16$ Hz, $J_2 = 5$ Hz); ¹³C NMR 25.5 (CH₃), 25.7 (CH₃), 51.5 (CH_3) , 52.3 (CH₃), 77.4 (CH), 78.4 (CH), 111.9 (Cq), 122.3 (CH), 143 (CH), 165.9 (C=0), 169.7 (C=0); MS (EI, m/z): 244 (M)+, 229 (M-CH₃)+, 185 (M-CO₂CH₃)+, Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₆O₆: C, 54.09; H, 6.66 Found: C, 54.09; H, 6.61.

N-methylmaleimiak Derivative 2Ob: Flash chromatography of the residue on silica gel (ether-pentane 1: 1) gave the olefin (52%). This was obtained as an oil. α _{ID}²⁰ -3.5° (c 0.86, CHCl₃); b.p. 180°C/ 0.3 mmHg (Kugelrohr); IR (film) 1760, 1715 cm⁻¹; MS (EI, m/z): 254 (M)⁺; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) 1.33 (3H, s), 1.45 $(3H, s)$, 2.48 $(3H, s)$, 3.43 $(3H, s)$, 4.36 $(1H, d, J = 7 Hz)$, 5.14 $(1H, d, J = 7 Hz)$, 6.17 $(1H, s)$. MS (EI, t) m/z): 254 (M)⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₁₂H₁₅NO₆: C, 53.53; H, 5.61; N, 5.20 Found: C, 53.34; H, 5.72; N, 4.93.

Vinylsulfone Derivative 20c: Flash chromatography of the residue on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane 2:8) gave the olefin (64%) . This was obtained as a colourless crystalline compound; m.p. 52 'C (from dichoromethane-hexane); $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ -29° (c 1.00, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (200 MHz) 1.43 (6H, CH₃, s), 3.83 (3H, CH₃, s), 4.33 (1H, d, J = 8 Hz), 4.8 (1H, m), 6.75 (1H, d, J = 15 Hz), 7.13 (1H, dd, J₁ = 4 Hz, J₂ = 15 Hz), 7.63 (3H, m), 7.93 (2H, m); ¹³C NMR 25.8 (CH₃), 26.6 (CH₃), 52.6 (CH₃), 76.5 (CH), 78.2 (CH), 112.4 (Cq), 127.7 (CH), 129.3 (CH), 132.5 (CH), 133.6 (CH), 139.9 (CH), 140.9 (CH), 169.4 (C=0); MS (EI, m/z): 327 (MH)⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₈O₆S: C, 55.19; H, 5.55; S, 9.82 Found: C, 54.95; H, 5.36; S, 10.03.

Ethyl Crotonate Derivative 20d: Flash chromatography of the residue on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane 3:7) gave the olefin (70%) as a mixture of E and Z isomers. This was obtained as an oil. ¹H NMR (200 MHz) 1.26 $(H, CH_3, q, J = 7 Hz)$, 1.5 (12H, CH₃, m), 1.95 (3H, CH₃, s), 3.73 (3H, CH₃, s), 3.83 (3H, CH₃, s), 4.16 (4H, m), 4.3 (1H, d, J = 8 Hz), 4.66 (1H, d, J = 7 Hz), 5.9 (1H, s), 6.03 (1H, s); ¹³C NMR 13.6 (C&). 17.9 (CH3). 25.2 25.3 (CH3), 26.1 26.2 (CH3), 51.7 51.9 (CH3), 59.3 59.4(CH2), 75.6 76.5 (CH), 77.8 82.1 (CH). 111.4 111.7 (Cq), 118 120.1 (CH), 151.8 152.1 (CH). 164.6 165.6 (C=O), 169.9 170.2 (C=0); MS (EI, m/z): 272 (M)+; 257 (M-CH₃)+; Anal. Calcd for C₁₃H₂₀O₆: C, 57.33; H,7.40 Found: C, 57.63; H, 7.65.

Crotononitrile derivative 20e: Flash chromatography of the residue on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane 1:1) gave the olefin (50%) as a single isomer. This was obtained as an oil. ¹H NMR (200 MHz) 1.55 (6H, CH₃, 2s), 2.1 (CH3, s), 3.83 (3H, CH₃, s), 4.1 (1H, d; J = 7 Hz), 4.7 (1H, d, J = 7 Hz), 5.6 (1H, d, J = 1 Hz), 13C NMR 16.8 (CH3), 25.6 (CH3), 52.7 (CH3). 78.2 (CH), 80.6 (CH), 97.2 (CH), 112.6 (Cq), 116.1 (CN), 159.2 (Cq), 170.3 (C=0), MS (EI, m/z):225 (M)⁺.

General Procedure for the Reductive Elimination of the Thiopyridyl Group:

To a solution of the addition product (lmmol) in absolute ethanol (5 ml) is added Raney Nickel (2g) and the mixture was refluxed for one hour. The suspension is filtered through celite and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The residue was then flash chromatographed on silica gel.

Methyl Acrylate Derivative 26a: Flash chromatography of the residue on silica gel (dichloromethane) gave the product (84%).This was obtained as an colorless oil. $\left[\alpha\right]D^{20}$ -15.53° (c 1.39, CHCl3); b.p. 150°C/0.2 mmHg (Kugelrorh); IR (film) 1780, 1750 cm-t; tH NMR (400 MHz) 1.43 (3H. s), 1.46 (3H, s), 2.02-1.92 (1H. m), 2.23-2.13 (lH, m), 2.55-2.46 (2H, m). 3.72 (3H. s), 3.79 (3H. s), 4.16 (2H, m); MS (EI, m/z): 246 (M)+, 245 (M-H)⁺, 231 (M-CH₃)⁺; Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₈O₆: C, 53.65; H, 7.37 Found: C, 53.88; H, 7.26.

Ethyl Crotonate Lkrivative 26d: Flash chromatography of the residue on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane 37) gave the product (80%) as a mixture of isomers. This was obtained as an oil. ¹H NMR (200 MHz) 1.0 (3H, CH3. d. J = 7 Hz), 1.25 (3H. CH3, t, J = 7Hz), 1.4 (3H. CH3, s), 3.44 (3H. CH, CH2. m), 3.8 (3H. CH3. s), 4.16 (3H, CH, CH₂, s), 4.3 (1H, m); ¹³C NMR 13.9 14 (CH₃), 15.8 (CH₃), 25.3 25.4 (CH₃), 26.5 26.7 (CH₃), 32.1 33.5 (CH₂), 37.1 37.9 (CH₂), 52 (CH₃), 60 (CH₂), 76.2 76.3 (CH), 81.5 82.3 (CH), 110.8

110.9 (Cq), 171.3 171.4 (C=0), 172 172.2 (C=0); MS (El, m/z): 273 (M-1)⁺; 259 (M-CH₃)⁺, 229 (M-OEt)⁺; Anal. Calcd for $C_{13}H_{22}O_6$: C, 56.91; H, 8.08 Found: C, 56.73; H, 7.89.

Treatment of the Olefin 20 with RuO₄ and CH₂N₂

To a suspension of ruthenium dioxide (5 mg) in **a mixture** of **acetone-water** (30 ml, 1: 1) was added sodium metaperiodate (5 mmol). This solution is then added to the olefin 20 (544 mg. 2.23 mmol) in acetone (10 ml). The mixture was stirred for 30 minutes then filtered through celite and the acetone was removed under reduced pressure at 2O'C. The residue was taken up in ether and washed two times with brine. The organic layer was dried over MgSO4 and the solvent evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure at 20°C. The residue was dissolved in acetonitrile (10 ml) and an ethereal solution of diazomethane was added at 0°C until persistence of the yellow color. The excess of reagent was then destroyed with a small amount of acetic acid. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue chromatographed on silica gel to give the dimethyl 2,3 isopropylidene tartrate 21(330 mg, 68%) as a colorless oil . The spectroscopic data of the compound and the physical properties were identical to an authentic sample prepared according to the litterature procedure¹⁸. b.p. 85 °C (0.15 mmHg); $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ -58° (c 0.86, MeOH); ¹H NMR (80 MHz) 1.50 (6H, s), 3.80 (6H, s), 4.75 (2H, s); ¹³C NMR 26.3 (CH₃), 52.7 (CH₃), 77.1 (CH), 113.9 (CHq), 170.1 (C=O); Anal. Calcd for C₉H₁₄O₆: *C*, 49.53; H, 6.46 Found: C, 49.73; H, 6.43.

Acknowledgements: We thank Dr. Alexander Wick (Synthelabo) for his interest and encouragement.

References.

- $\mathbf{1}$ Seebach D. and Hungerbühler E. in "Modern Synthetic Method" ed. E. Scheffold, Otto Salle Verlag. Frankfurt, 1980, and references therein. Bestmann, H. J.; Moenius, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 994-996. Kosala, T.; Miller, M. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1987, 28, 1861-1864. Gateau-Olesker, A; Cléophax, J.; Géro, S. D. *ibid.*, 1986, 27, 41-44. Barrière, F.; Barrière, J.-C.; Barton, D. H. R.; CleOphax. J.; Gateau-Olesker. A.; G&o, S. D.; Tadj, F. *ibid,* **1985.26.3** 119-3 120. ldem, ibid, 3121-3124. Takano, S.; Kuratoki, A.; Sekiguchi, Y.; Satoh, S.; Hirama, M.; Ogasawara, K. Synthesis, **1986, 811-817. Krief, A.; Dumont, W.; Paseau, P.; Lecomte, P.** *Tetrahedron***, 1989**, 45, 3039-305 **Yadav.** J. S.; Mysorekar. S. V.; Rama Rao, A. V. *Tetrahedron,* **1989.45.7353-7360.**
- $\overline{2}$ Roush, W. R.; Palkowitz, A. D.; *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1987**, 109, 953-955. Kagan, H. B.; Dang T. P.; *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* **1972.94.6429-6433. Katsuki.** T; Shatpless. K. B.; *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* **1980,** *102,5974-5976.* Shatpless, K. B.; Woodard, S.S.; Finn, M. G. *Pure and Appl. Chem.* **1983.55,** 1823. Lu, L. D.-L.; Johnson, R. A.; **Finn.** M. G.; Sharpless, K. B. *J. Org. Chem..* **1984,49,728-** *731.*
- Barton, D. H. R.; Gateau-Olesker, A.; Géro, S. D.; Lacher, B.; Tachdjian, C.; Zard, S. Z. J. Chem. 3 *Sot. Chem. Commun.* **1987, 1790-1792.**
- 4 Barton, D. H. R.; Crich. D.; Motherwell, W. B. *J. Chem. Sot., Chem. Commun.* **1983.939-941.** Idem, *Tetrahedron* **1985.41.3901-3924.**
- 5 Newcomb, M.; Park, S. U.; Kaplan, J.; Marquardt, D. J. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1985, 26, 5651-5654.Newcomb, M.; Deeb, T. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1987, 109, 3163-3165. Newcomb, M.; Marquardt, D. J. *Heterocycles* **1989,28.129.** Newcomb, M.; Deeb, T. *M.;* Marquardt, D. J. *Tetrahedron* **1990,46, 23** 17-2328. Newwmb. M.; Marquardt, D.J.; Deeb. T. M. *ibid.* **1990,46, 2329-2344.** Newwmb. **M.; Marquardt,** D. J.; Kumar. *M.* U *ibid.* **1990.46,** *2345-2352.* Newwmb, M.; Kumar, M. U. *Tetrahedron Len.* **1990,3J.** *16751678.* Newwmb, M.; Esker, J. L. *ibid.* **1991, 32, 1035 1038.**
- 6 Beckwith, A. L. J.; Hay, B. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1988, 110, 4415-4416. Idem, ibid. 1989, 111, 230-234. Boivin, J.; Crépon, E.; Zard, S. Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 6869-6872. Newcomb, M.; Kumar, M. U.; Boivin, J; **Cr6pon, E.; Zard, S. Z** *ibid.* **1991,32.45-48. Beckwith. A. L. J.; Davidson, I. G. E.** *ibid.* **1991,32,49-52.** Barton, D. H. R.; Jaszherenyi, J. Cs.; Morrell. A. I. ibid. 1991,32. 311-314.
- 7 Barton, D. H. R.; Jaszherenyi, J. Cs.; Tachdjian, C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991,32.2703-2706.** Barton, D. H. R.; Tachdjian, C. *Tetrahedron.* **1992**, 48, 7109-7120.
- 8 **a)Barton, D. H. R.; GzbsIik. N.; Sarma, J. C.** *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988.29,6581-6584. b) Barton, D.** H. R.; Ramesh, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 891-892. c) Barton, D. H. R.; Géro. S. D.; Quiclet-Sire, B.; Samadi, M.; Vincent. C. *Tetrahe&on* **1991.47.9383-9392. d) Barton, D. H. R.;** Dalko, P. I.; Géro, S. D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, 32, 4713-4716.e) Barton, D. H. R.; Jaszberenyi, J. **Cs.; Theodorakis, E. A.** *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* **1992,114,5904 - 5905.**
- 9 **Barton, D. H. R; Kretzschmar, G.** *Tetrahedron Lett. 1983,24,58@-5892.* Barton, D. H. R.; Crich. D.; Potier, P.; *Ibid.* **1985**, 26, 5943-5946. Barton, D. H. R.; Crich, D; Kretzschmar, G. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.1 **1986**, 39-53. Barton, D. H. R.; Blundell, P.; Jaszberenyi, J. Cs. *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* **1991.113.6937-6942.** Barton, D. H. R; Tachdjian. C. *Tetrahedron. 1992,48,7091-7108.*
- **10** For reviews see: Barton D. H. R.; Zard. S. Z. *Phil. Trans. R. Sac. Lond.* **1985,** *B 311.505-516.* Barton **D. H. R.; Zard. S.** Z. *hrre Appl. Chem.* **1986,58,6756&l. Barton D. H. R.; Zard, S. Z.** Janrsen *Chimica Acta* 1987,4,3-g. Crich, D. *Ahirichimica Acta. 1987.20.35.* Barton D. H. R. *Ahfrichimica Acta,* **1990,23.3-l 1. Crich. D.; Quintero, L.** *Chem. Rev.* **1989,85\$ 1413-1432. Motherwell, W. B.; Crich, D.** *Free Radical Chain &actions in Organic Synthesk.* m **"Best Synthetic Methods" Ed. Katritzky,** A. R.; Meth-Cohn. 0.; **Rees. C.** W. Academic Press 1991.
- 11 Giese, B; Henk, H; Lenhardt, **H.;** LUning, U. Cbem. *Ber.,* 1984.117,2132. Henning. R.; Urhah, H.; *Tetrahedron Lett., 1983,24,5343.* Giese. **B. Angew. Chem. Znt. Ed. Eng., 1989,28.%9-l 146.**
- 12 Barton, D. H. R.; Bridon, D.; Hervé, Y.; Potier, P; Thierry, J.; Zard, S. Z. *Tetrahedron*, 1986, 42, *4983-4990.* Barton, D. H. R.; Crich. D.; Herve, Y.; Potier, P.; Thierry, J. *Tetrahedron, 1985.41, 4347-4357.*
- 13 Porziemsky, J-P.; Krstulovic, A. M.; Wick, A.; Barton, D. H. R; Tachdjian, C.; Gateau-Olesker, A.; Géro, S. D. *Journal of Chromatography* 1988, 440, 183-195.
- 14 Giese, B; Hamish, H; Lachhein. S. *Synthesis,* **1983,733. Barton, D. H. R.; Togo, H.; Zard, S. Z.,** *Tetrahedron Z&t..* **1985.26,6349-6352.**
- 15 Barton, D. H. R.; Crich, D.; Kretzschmar, G Tetrahedron Lett., 1984, 25, 1055-1058. Barton, D. H. R.; Togo, H.; Zard. S. Z. *Tetrahedron, 1985.41.5507-5516.* Barton, D. H. R.: Togo, H; Zard, S. 2. *Tetrahedron Lett.. 1985.26.63496352.* Barton. D. H. R.; Boivin, J.; Crepon. E.; Sarma, J.; Togo, H.; Zard, S. Z. *Tetrahedron,* **1991.47,7091-7108.** Barton, D. H. R; Chem. C.-Y.; Jaszherenyi, J. C. *Tetrahedron Mt.* **1991,32, 3309-3312. Idem,** *ibid. 1992,33. 5013-5016.* Idem. *ibid* 1992.33, 5017-5020.
- 16 Barton, D. H. R; Géro, S. D.; Quiclet-Sire, B.; Samadi, M. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1988, 1372-1373. Barton, D. H. R; Géro, S. D.; Quiclet-Sire, B.; Samadi, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1989, 30, 4969-4972. Idem, *Tetrahedron*, 1992, 48, 1627-1636. Barton, D. H. R; Géro, S. D.; Lawrence, F.; Robert-G&o, M.; Quiclet-Sire, B.; Samadi, **M.** *J. Am. Chem. Sot., 1992,35, 63-67.*
- 17 *Inter al. Porter, N. A.; Lacher, B.; Chang, V. H.; Magnin, D. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8309-*8310. Porter, N. A.; Scott, D. M.; Rosenstein, I. J.; Giese, B.; Veit, A.; Zeitz, H. G.; *Ibid*, **1991**, *113*, 1791-1799. Stack, J. G.; Curran, D. P.; Geib, S. V.; Rebek, J.; Ballester, P.; *Ibid*, 1992, 114, 7007-*7018.* Porter, N. A.; Rosenstein, I. J.; Breyer, R. A.; Brnhnke, J. D.; Wu, W.-X.; McPhail. A. T. *Ibid. 1992,114,7664-7676.* Giese. B. Zehnder, M.; Roth, M.; Zeitz, H.-G. *Ibid,* 1990,112,6741- 6742.Porter. N. A.; Giese, **B.; Curran, D. P.** *Act.* **Chem.** *Res.,* **1991.24, 2%-304**
- 18 **Musich, J. A.; Rapoport, H.;** *J. Am. Chem. Sot.,* 1978,100, 4865-4872.